For the Northern District of California

25

26

27

1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
8	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
9		
10	IN RE: TFT-LCD (FLAT PANEL) ANTITRUST LITIGATION	No. M 07-1827 SI MDL. No. 1827
11	ANTITRUST LITIGATION	MDL. No. 1827
12	This Order Relates to:	ORDER FOR FURTHER DECLARATIONS RE: DEFENDANTS'
13	AT&T Mobility LLC v. AU Optronics Corp., et al., C 09-4997 SI	MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT AS TO PURCHASES OF PANELS MADE
14	Best Buy Co., Inc. v. AU Optronics Corp., et al.,	BY SUPPOSED CONSPIRATORS NOT ALLEGED IN THE COMPLAINTS
	C 10-4572 SI	
16	Electrograph Systems, Inc. v. Epson Imaging Devices Corp., et al., C 10-0117 SI	
17	17 Target Corp. v. AU Optronics Corp., et al.,	
18	C 10-4945 SI	
19		
20	On November 2, 2012, the Court held a hearing on defendants' motion for summary judgmen	
21	as to purchases of panels made by supposed conspirators not alleged in the complaints.	
22	In their reply brief, defendants stated that plaintiffs served Attachment A to Certain Defendants	

Interrogatory Responses on January 30, 2012, after the close of fact discovery. Docket No. 6708 at 5:15. However, at the hearing plaintiffs' counsel stated that AT&T first served Attachment A in May 2011, and that the other plaintiffs served Attachment A (or updated versions thereof) at different times in 2011, all before the close of fact discovery. Plaintiffs' counsel also asserted that defendants did not conduct any discovery on the co-conspirators identified in Attachment A. The information provided by plaintiffs' counsel regarding when Attachment A was served on defendants was not contained in plaintiffs'

Case3:10-cv-04572-SI Document297 Filed11/02/12 Page2 of 2

United States District Court For the Northern District of California The Court finds that an understanding of when Attachment A was served on defendants in each of the four cases will assist the Court in resolving defendants' motion. Accordingly, the Court directs plaintiffs' and defendants' counsel to file declarations stating (1) when Attachment A was served on defendants in each case, (2) whether defendants conducted any discovery regarding the identities of alleged co-conspirators named in Attachment A, and if so when, and (3) whether any of the entities at issue in defendants' motion were never listed on Attachment A, and if so, which ones. The parties shall file the declarations no later than **November 7, 2012**.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

opposition papers or declarations.

Dated: November 2, 2012

SUSAN ILLSTON

United States District Judge